IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

CONTREZ LEON BING,)	Civil Action No.: 2:11-cv-03174-RBH
)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	ORDER
WARDEN MCCABE; CAPTAIN)	
CLARK; LIEUTENANT)	
WILLIAMS; MS. JENKINS; AND)	
MR. BLACKWELL,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

Plaintiff Contrez Leon Bing, a state prisoner proceeding <u>prose</u>, filed this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging due process violations arising from his prison disciplinary hearing and access to the courts. The above-captioned Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Bruce Howe Hendricks, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. The Magistrate Judge recommends that the Court grant Defendants' motion for summary judgment.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this Court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Neither party has filed objections to the Report and Recommendation. In the absence of

2:11-cv-03174-RBH Date Filed 12/18/12 Entry Number 27 Page 2 of 2

objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to

give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199

(4th Cir. 1983). The Court reviews only for clear error in the absence of an objection. See Diamond

v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a

timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct de novo review, but instead must 'only

satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the

recommendation' ") (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

After a thorough review of the record in this case, the Court finds no clear error.

Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is adopted and incorporated

by reference. Therefore, it is

ORDERED that Defendants' motion for summary judgment [ECF No. 18] is **GRANTED**.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's complaint is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ R. Bryan Harwell

R. Bryan Harwell

United States District Judge

December 18, 2012

Florence, South Carolina

2